

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

| | | |
|---------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| ANTHONY CROSS, |) | 3:13-cv-00433-MMD-WGC |
| |) | |
| Plaintiff, |) | <u>MINUTES OF THE COURT</u> |
| |) | |
| vs. |) | December 18, 2015 |
| |) | |
| RON JAEGER, et al., |) | |
| |) | |
| Defendants |) | |
| |) | |

PRESENT: THE HONORABLE WILLIAM G. COBB, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DEPUTY CLERK: KATIE LYNN OGDEN REPORTER: NONE APPEARING

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF(S): NONE APPEARING

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT(S): NONE APPEARING

MINUTE ORDER IN CHAMBERS:

This court's Order (ECF No. 269)¹ addressed Plaintiff's Motion to Reopen the Discovery Requests. (ECF No. 266.) The Order stated the court was not inclined to reopen discovery with regard to the Southern Desert Correctional Center OP 740 which was in effect in September 2011. (ECF No. 269 at 5-6.) However, the court stated it needed additional information about which version of SDCC OP 740 was previously provided to Plaintiff and ordered that by December 11, 2015:

(1) **Defendant** shall file a notice of the dates when the relevant version of SDCC OP 740 was provided Plaintiff, along with supporting documents pertaining thereto.

(2) **Plaintiff** shall file a notice of relevance of SDCC OP 740, including a statement outlining

- a) when he received the September 2011 version of SDCC OP 740;
- b) what substantive distinction exists between the September 2011 an October 2011 versions of SDCC OP 740 and how those distinctions, if any, impact Plaintiff's case; and,
- c) what specific new discovery Plaintiff proposes should be undertaken with respect to SDCC OP 740.

¹ Refers to court's Electronic Case Filing number.

